

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/553,914		FORMSTONE ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Daniel S. Metzmaier		1762	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(2) James D. Withers.

Date of Interview: 22 March 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: Amended

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: ~ 8:50 AM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejections of record generally and prior art cited in this examiner's amendment.

Claims discussed:

All claims pending.

Prior art documents discussed:

Aghaje et al, US 6,165,939; Patel, US 6,417,140; Baker, US 5,405,825; Dexter, US 5,397,766; Aghaje et al, US 6,451,731; and Creech et al, US 5,573,769; Lubowe '859; Kass '040; and Hirose et al '761.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Daniel S. Metzmaier/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1762

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: examiner indicated (3/21/2011) that upon looking at the record anew that the subject matter indicated as allowable would be maintained. Claims corresponding to 38-41 contained the allowable limitations. Discussed amending or limiting the claims thereto. Applicants responding to the previous examiner inquiry, discussed making amendments to limit the claims to include the previously indicated allowable subject matter (§ 14, OA mailed 9/15/2010). Applicants authorized amendments by examiner's amendment.